

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CommissionERCF PATENTS AND TRADEMAKES was uspected was uspected.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10 041,791	10 19 2001	Tomoyuki Hirano	09792909-5225	3118
26263	7590 02 07 2003			
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION			EXAMINER	
			BOOTH, RICHARD A	
CHICAGO, II	_ 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	/_
			DATE MAILED: 02 07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ML			
_		Application No.	plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/041,791	HIRANO ET A	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard A. Booth	2812				
	- The MAILING DATE of this commun.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	e address			
Period fo	• •						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howelenication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory mire attutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.				
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·					
4)	Claim(s) $1-9$ is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consider	ation.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restric on Papers	tion and/or election require	ment.				
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be hel	ld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85	5(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)☐ approve	ed b) disapproved by the Exa	aminer.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this Office ac	tion.				
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)🖸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	eived in Application No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internee the attached detailed Office actio	ational Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	onal Stage			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisi	onal application).			
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign lan	guage provisional applicati	ion has been received.				
Attachment							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				



Application/Control Number: 10/041,791

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al., JP 08-306646A in view of Ghandhi, "VLSI Fabrication Principles".

Watanabe et al. shows the invention substantially as claimed including forming a semiconductor film on a substrate to be used as a capacitor electrode and then growing spherical or hemispherical grains on the surface of a semiconductor layer and diffusing an impurity, for instance phosphorous, to the grains grown on the surface of the semiconductor film (see abstract of Watanabe et al. and paragraph bridging pages 1 and 2 of applicant's specification).

Watanabe et al. fails to expressly disclose removing the impurity product, which is generated in the step of diffusing the impurity, from the surface of the semiconductor film using hot deionized water at a temperature of 30-80 celsius or using either a mixed solution of hydrochloric acid and hydrogen peroxide or a mixed solution of sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide, and removing a native oxide using a mixed solution of hydrofluoric acid and DI water.

Ghandhi discloses performing cleaning after each processing step (see page 641), for example, using a RCA solution which contains hydrochloric acid and hydrogen



Application/Control Number: 10/041,791

Art Unit: 2812

peroxide, for instance (see page 641, second full paragraph). With respect to the removal of the native oxide, the examiner takes official notice that inherently native oxide forms on an exposed silicon surface and this oxide needs to be removed because of its poor device characteristics. Accordingly, Ghandhi on page 649 discloses etching silicon oxide using a hydrofluoric acid/water mixture. In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the impurity product of Watanabe et al. using the cleaning process of Ghandhi because cleaning should be done after each processing step and cleaning using the methods listed above is commonly done in the art. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to use deionized water because this type of water does not affect the characteristics of the device. With respect to the temperature of the water, the temperature of the water used during cleaning would be optimized during routine experimentation and would not lend patentability to the instant application absent the showing of unexpected results.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al., JP 08-306646A in view of Ghandhi, "VLSI Fabrication Principles" as applied to claims 1-5 and 7-9 above, and further in view of Doan et al., U.S. Patent 5,767,005.

Watanabe et al. in view of Ghandhi are applied as above but fail to disclose using the HSG semiconductor film for a floating gate electrode.





Application/Control Number: 10/041,791

Art Unit: 2812

Doan et al. discloses a floating gate composed of HSG (see col.). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Watanabe et al. so as to use the HSG film as a floating gate electrode because this will allow for a floating gate with higher memory capacity.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art shows the state of the art in HSG formation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is 308-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-7724 for regular communications and 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.

Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812

January 31, 2003